

English (CC)-Communicative English 1.1 (A)- Ms. Siddhi Sangle

Dear Students,

This questionnaire is intended to collect information relating to your satisfaction towards the faculty, teaching, learning and evaluation. The information provided by you will be kept confidential and will be used as important feedback for quality improvement of the programme of studies/institution.

* Indicates required question

FY BA

Semester I

1. 1. The teacher was punctual in class. *

Mark only one oval.

- Always
 Regularly
 sometimes
 Rarely
 Never

2. 2. The teacher engaged the assigned lectures. *

Mark only one oval.

- Always
 Regularly
 sometimes
 Rarely
 Never



3. 3. The teacher came well prepared for the class. *

Mark only one oval.

- Always
- Regularly
- sometimes
- Rarely
- Never

4. 4. The syllabus was covered by the teacher. *

Mark only one oval.

- 100%
- 85%
- 60%
- 30%
- below 30%

5. 5. Applicability/relevance of course content to real life situations - *

Mark only one oval.

- Excellent
- Very good
- Good
- Satisfactory
- Unsatisfactory



6. 6. Learning value of the course (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives) *

Mark only one oval.

- Excellent
 Very good
 Good
 Satisfactory
 Unsatisfactory

7. 7. The teacher illustrated the concepts through examples and applications and integrated the course material with environment and other issues to provide a broader perspective. *

Mark only one oval.

- Every time
 Usually
 Occasionally/Sometimes
 Rarely
 Never

8. 8. The syllabus of the course was - *

Mark only one oval.

- Adequate
 Relevant
 Challenging
 Boring
 Irrelevant



9. 9. The teacher was able to communicate the contents of the syllabus effectively *

Mark only one oval.

- Always
 Regularly
 Sometimes
 Rarely
 Never

10. 10. The teacher suggested additional and suitable references and learning material *

Mark only one oval.

- Always
 Regularly
 Sometimes
 Rarely
 Never

11. 11. The teacher used ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, etc. while teaching. *

Mark only one oval.

- Always
 Regularly
 Sometimes
 Rarely
 Never



12. 12. The teacher used student centric methods, such as experiential learning, participative learning and problem solving methodologies for enhancing learning experiences *

Mark only one oval.

- To a large extent
- Moderate
- Somewhat
- Very little
- Not at all

13. 13. The teacher completed the portion – *

Mark only one oval.

- Much in Advance
- Early
- In time
- Late
- Very late

14. 14. The teacher revised the contents of the syllabus *

Mark only one oval.

- Always
- Regularly
- Sometimes
- Rarely
- Never



15. 15. The teacher's approach towards teaching was. *

Mark only one oval.

- Excellent
- Very good
- Good
- Fair
- Poor

Untitled Section

16. 16. The teacher's explanation of concepts was. *

Mark only one oval.

- Very easy to follow
- Easy to follow
- Understandable
- Okey
- Difficult to follow

17. 17. In the class, the teacher's pace of speaking was *

Mark only one oval.

- Too fast
- Fast
- Normal
- Slow
- Very slow



18. 18. I have learnt and understood the subject material/s in the Course *

Mark only one oval.

- Strongly disagree
- Disagree
- Neither agree not disagree
- Agree
- Strongly agree

19. 19. As regards class control, the teacher was. *

Mark only one oval.

- Very effective
- Effective
- Average
- Lenient
- Poor

20. 20. Internal evaluation process carried out by the teachers was *

Mark only one oval.

- Always fair
- Usually fair
- Sometimes unfair
- Usually unfair
- Unfair



21. 21. The teacher did a necessary follow - up with an assigned task given to me *
...

Mark only one oval.

- Every time
- Usually
- Occasionally/Sometimes
- Rarely
- Never

22. 22. Regular and timely feedback based on my performance in internal evaluation was discussed with me *


Mark only one oval.

- Every time
- Usually
- Occasionally/Sometimes
- Rarely
- Never

23. 23. The Teacher informed me about the expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes - *

Mark only one oval.

- Every time
- Usually
- Occasionally/Sometimes
- Rarely
- Never



24. 24. The teacher was available and accessible in the College *

Mark only one oval.

- Always
 Regularly
 Sometimes
 Rarely
 Never

25. 25. With regard to solving difficulties on the subject in the College Campus, the teacher was *

Mark only one oval.

- Always helpful
 Helpful
 Sometimes helpful
 Not helpful
 Passes it off

26. 26. The teacher identified my strengths and weaknesses and encouraged me towards higher achievements in academics and extra-curricular activities *

Mark only one oval.

- Fully
 Reasonably
 Partially
 Slightly
 Not at all



27. 27. Efforts were made by the teacher to inculcate soft skills, life skills and employability skills *

Mark only one oval.

- To a great extent
- Moderate
- Somewhat
- Very little
- Not at all

28. 28. The overall quality of teaching -learning process adopted by the teacher was *

Mark only one oval.

- Excellent
- Very Good
- Good
- Averagee
- Satisfactory

29. 29. Give three observation/suggestions to improve the overall teaching-learning experience *

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

cello
Principal
Sant Sohirobanath Ambiyee
Govt. College of Arts & Commerce
Vimoda, Pernem-Goa

English (CC)-Communicative English 1.1 (A)- Ms. Siddhi Sangle

Dear Students,

This questionnaire is intended to collect information relating to your satisfaction towards the faculty, teaching, learning and evaluation. The information provided by you will be kept confidential and will be used as important feedback for quality improvement of the programme of studies/institution.

FY BA

Semester I

1. The teacher was punctual in class. *

- Always
- Regularly
- sometimes
- Rarely
- Never



2. The teacher engaged the assigned lectures. *


- Always
- Regularly
- sometimes
- Rarely
- Never

3. The teacher came well prepared for the class. *

- Always
- Regularly
- sometimes
- Rarely
- Never

4. The syllabus was covered by the teacher. *

- 100%
- 85%
- 60%
- 30%
- below 30%



5. Applicability/relevance of course content to real life situations - *

- Excellent
- Very good
- Good
- Satisfactory
- Unsatisfactory

6. Learning value of the course (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical * abilities and broadening perspectives)

- Excellent
- Very good
- Good
- Satisfactory
- Unsatisfactory

7. The teacher illustrated the concepts through examples and applications and integrated the * course material with environment and other issues to provide a broader perspective.

- Every time
- Usually
- Occasionally/Sometimes
- Rarely
- Never



8. The syllabus of the course was - *

- Adequate
- Relevant
- Challenging
- Boring
- Irrelevant

9. The teacher was able to communicate the contents of the syllabus effectively - *

- Always
- Regularly
- Sometimes
- Rarely
- Never

10. The teacher suggested additional and suitable references and learning material *

- Always
- Regularly
- Sometimes
- Rarely
- Never



11. The teacher used ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, etc. while teaching. *

- Always
- Regularly
- Sometimes
- Rarely
- Never

12. The teacher used student centric methods, such as experiential learning, participative learning and problem solving methodologies for enhancing learning experiences *

- To a large extent
- Moderate
- Somewhat
- Very little
- Not at all

13. The teacher completed the portion – *

- Much in Advance
- Early
- In time
- Late
- Very late



14. The teacher revised the contents of the syllabus *

- Always
- Regularly
- Sometimes
- Rarely
- Never

15. The teacher's approach towards teaching was. *

- Excellent
- Very good
- Good
- Fair
- Poor

Untitled Section

16. The teacher's explanation of concepts was. *

- Very easy to follow
- Easy to follow
- Understandable
- Okey
- Difficult to follow



17. In the class, the teacher's pace of speaking was *

- Too fast
- Fast
- Normal
- Slow
- Very slow

18. I have learnt and understood the subject material/s in the Course *

- Strongly disagree
- Disagree
- Neither agree nor disagree
- Agree
- Strongly agree

19. As regards class control, the teacher was. *

- Very effective
- Effective
- Average
- Lenient
- Poor



20. Internal evaluation process carried out by the teachers was *

- Always fair
- Usually fair
- Sometimes unfair
- Usually unfair
- Unfair

21. The teacher did a necessary follow - up with an assigned task given to me ... *

- Every time
- Usually
- Occasionally/Sometimes
- Rarely
- Never

22. Regular and timely feedback based on my performance in internal evaluation was discussed with me *

- Every time
- Usually
- Occasionally/Sometimes
- Rarely
- Never



23. The Teacher informed me about the expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes -

- Every time
- Usually
- Occasionally/Sometimes
- Rarely
- Never

24. The teacher was available and accessible in the College *

- Always
- Regularly
- Sometimes
- Rarely
- Never

25. With regard to solving difficulties on the subject in the College Campus, the teacher

- Always helpful
- Helpful
- Sometimes helpful
- Not helpful
- Passes it off



26. The teacher identified my strengths and weaknesses and encouraged me towards higher * achievements in academics and extra-curricular activities


- Fully
- Reasonably
- Partially
- Slightly
- Not at all

27. Efforts were made by the teacher to inculcate soft skills, life skills and employability skills *

- To a great extent
- Moderate
- Somewhat
- Very little
- Not at all

28. The overall quality of teaching -learning process adopted by the teacher was *


- Excellent
- Very Good
- Good
- Averagee
- Satisfactory


Principal
Sant Sohrobanath Ambiyé
Govt. College of Arts & Commerce
Vimoda, Pernem-Goa


**SANT SOHIROBANATH AMBIYE, GOVERNMENT COLLEGE OF ARTS &
COMMERCE, VIRNODA, PERNEM GOA**
FACULTY FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS.
ACADEMIC YEAR- 2020- 21

DEPARTMENT – ENGLISH


1. The teacher was punctual in class.




2. The teacher engaged the assigned lectures.



3. The teacher came well prepared for the class.




4. The syllabus was covered by the teacher.




SANT SOHIROBANATH AMBIYE, GOVERNMENT COLLEGE OF ARTS & COMMERCE, VIRNODA, PERNEM GOA
FACULTY FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS.
ACADEMIC YEAR- 2020- 21

5. Applicability/relevance of course content to real life situations



6. Learning value of the course (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening p...



SANT SOHIROBANATH AMBIYE, GOVERNMENT COLLEGE OF ARTS & COMMERCE, VIRNODA, PERNEM GOA

FACULTY FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS.
ACADEMIC YEAR- 2020- 21

7. The teacher illustrated the concepts through examples and applications and integrated the course material with environ...


Occasionally/Sometimes
10.7%

7. The teacher illustrat...
7.0%

Rarely
1.6%

Every time
46.5%

Usually
34.2%



8. The syllabus of the course was -


Boring
1.6%

8. The syllabus of th...
7.0%

Challenging
21.9%


Adequate
34.2%

Relevant
35.3%




SANT SOHIROBANATH AMBIYE, GOVERNMENT COLLEGE OF ARTS & COMMERCE, VIRNODA, PERNEM GOA
FACULTY FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS.
ACADEMIC YEAR- 2020- 21

9. The teacher was able to communicate the contents of the syllabus effectively -




10. The teacher suggested additional and suitable references and learning material




SANT SOHIROBANATH AMBIYE, GOVERNMENT COLLEGE OF ARTS & COMMERCE, VIRNODA, PERNEM GOA
FACULTY FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS.
ACADEMIC YEAR- 2020- 21


11. The teacher used ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, etc. while teaching.




12. The teacher used student centric methods, such as experiential learning, participative learning and problem solvi...



13. The teacher completed the portion –




14. The teacher revised the contents of the syllabus




SANT SOHIROBANATH AMBIYE, GOVERNMENT COLLEGE OF ARTS & COMMERCE, VIRNODA, PERNEM GOA
 FACULTY FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS.
 ACADEMIC YEAR- 2020- 21


15. The teacher's approach towards teaching was.





16. The teacher's explanation of concepts was.



17. In the class, the teacher's pace of speaking was




18. I have learnt and understood the subject material/s in the Course




SANT SOHIROBANATH AMBIYE, GOVERNMENT COLLEGE OF ARTS & COMMERCE, VIRNODA, PERNEM GOA
FACULTY FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS.
ACADEMIC YEAR- 2020- 21

19. As regards class control, the teacher was.




20. Internal evaluation process carried out by the teachers was




SANT SOHIROBANATH AMBIYE, GOVERNMENT COLLEGE OF ARTS & COMMERCE, VIRNODA, PERNEM GOA
FACULTY FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS.
ACADEMIC YEAR- 2020- 21

21. The teacher did a necessary follow - up with an assigned task given to me ...




22. Regular and timely feedback based on my performance in internal evaluation was discussed with me




SANT SOHIROBANATH AMBIYE, GOVERNMENT COLLEGE OF ARTS & COMMERCE, VIRNODA, PERNEM GOA
FACULTY FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS.
ACADEMIC YEAR- 2020- 21

23. The Teacher informed me about the expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes -




24. The teacher was available and accessible in the College




SANT SOHIROBANATH AMBIYE, GOVERNMENT COLLEGE OF ARTS & COMMERCE, VIRNODA, PERNEM GOA
FACULTY FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS.
ACADEMIC YEAR- 2020- 21

25. With regard to solving difficulties on the subject in the College Campus, the teacher was




26. The teacher identified my strengths and weaknesses and encouraged me towards higher achievements in academics...




SANT SOHIROBANATH AMBIYE, GOVERNMENT COLLEGE OF ARTS & COMMERCE, VIRNODA, PERNEM GOA
FACULTY FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS.
ACADEMIC YEAR- 2020- 21

27. Efforts were made by the teacher to inculcate soft skills, life skills and employability skills



28. The overall quality of teaching -learning process adopted by the teacher was



Arul
Principal
Sant Sohirobanath Ambiye
Govt. College of Arts & Commerce
Virnoda, Pernem-Goa



GOVERNMENT OF GOA,
SANT SOHIROBANATH AMBIYE
GOVT. COLLEGE OF ARTS & COMMERCE
VIRNODA, PERNEM, GOA

G-mail: gcacpg@yahoo.co.in

Website: www.gcpernem.ac.in

Tel. No.: (0832) 2201210

Fax: (0832) 2201762

No. SSAGCAC/P/Acad/Students Feedback/ 2020-21


dtd. 15/07/2019

ACTION TAKEN REPORT

Action taken report on Students Feedback.

The feedback from the students of the college about the Curriculum and subject teachers so also on college ambience was taken on record and discussed. The following initiative has been taken on the suggestion given by the Students for the Academic year 2020-21

1. The feedback for teachers given by the students has been shared with the respective teachers.
2. The teachers got less positive remarks have been asked to modify their teaching techniques which would be students centric.
3. The students have asked for providing the internet facility in the class room. IT incharge is instructed to repair and streamline the existing wi-fi as well as LAN facility.
4. Student requested for starting a skill based course. The HOD commerce instructed to initiate the action to start the short term course on Tally and GST.
5. A copy of the Feedback analysis and Action taken report will be sent to Goa University for perusal and further course of action.


Prof. (Dr.) Filipe Rodrigues e melo
Principal

SANT SOHIROBANATH AMBIYE
GOVT. COLLEGE OF ARTS & COMMERCE
VIRNODA, PERNEM - GOA

Copy To.

1. NAAC File
2. Feedback File
3. Guard File



GOVERNMENT OF GOA,
SANT SOHIROBANATH AMBIYE
GOVT. COLLEGE OF ARTS & COMMERCE
VIRNODA, PERNEM, GOA

J-mail: gcacpg@yahoo.co.in Website: www.gcpernem.ac.in

Tel. No.: (0832) 2201210

Fax: (0832) 2201762

No. SSAGCAC/P/Feedback/ 2020-21/ 1570

Date: 18/11/2021

To,
The Registrar.
Goa University.
Taleigao Plaicau.
Taleigao-Goa

Sub: Submission of Analysis Report of feedback from faculty and Students on the Curriculum

Sir,

Please find enclosed herewith an analysis report of feedback collected by the Feedback Committee of the College from the Faculty and the Students on the Curriculum and its transaction during the academic year 2020-2021.

The enclosed analysis report is forwarded herewith for your information and necessary action.

Yours faithfully,

Prof. (Dr.) Filipe Rodrigues e melo
Professor & Principal
SANT SOHIROBANATH AMBIYE
GOVT. COLLEGE OF ARTS & COMMERCE
VIRNODA, PERNEM - GOA

Encl. As stated.

GOVERNMENT OF GOA,
SANT SOHIROBANATH AMBIYE
GOVT. COLLEGE OF ARTS & COMMERCE
VIRNODA, PERNEM, GOA

L-mail: gcacpg@yahoo.co.in Website: www.gcpernem.ac.in

Tel. No.: (0832) 2201210

Fax: (0832) 2201762

No. SSAGCAC/P/Feedback/ 2020-21/ 1555-A

Date: 16/11/2021

To,
The Director,
Directorate of Higher Education,
Alto- Porvorim


**Sub: Submission of Analysis Report of feedback from faculty and Students
on the Curriculum**

Sir,

Please find enclosed herewith an analysis report of feedback collected by the Feedback Committee of the College from the Faculty and the Students on the Curriculum and its transaction during the academic year 2020-2021.

The enclosed analysis report is forwarded herewith for your information and necessary action.

Yours faithfully,



Prof. (Dr.) Filipe Rodrigues e melo
Professor & Principal
SANT SOHIROBANATH AMBIYE
GOVT COLLEGE OF ARTS & COMMERCE
VIRNODA, PERNEM - GOA

Encl. As stated.